The main routes of voluntary observing ships across the North Atlantic are detected by the intensity of the geographical distribution of the voluntary ship observations. The routes are then analysed in order to assess their relative intensity of traffic, the seasonal changes and the trend along the years. This paper will provide a better understanding of the North Atlantic trades and the relationship among the different routes, allowing the identification of the most critical areas. A discussion over the impact of routing on the weather that a transoceanic ship can experience during his life is also provided.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ninety percent of the international trade runs by sea. Although the global economy is undergoing many changes (Rodrigue et al., 2006, UNCTAD, 2007) the North Atlantic maintains an important role in the marine transportation system. Knowing the main sea routes between Europe and North America, together with their continuous evolution during the years and the seasons, is fundamental to ensure the maritime safety and survey the oceanic traffic. This will lead to a global traffic control vision (Corbet, 1992), not just confined to port areas and canals and their approaches. The goal of this analysis is to create a reliable ranking of the considered routes as a function of the number of reports, or in other words the intensity of the traffic. Furthermore, the North Atlantic is the reference sea state condition for ship design and the scatter diagrams to use in design must reflect the wave conditions expected during the voyages. Design parameters, such as the significant wave height, are commonly derived from climate databases, which combine local and remote measurements and results from numerical models. However route selection is highly influenced by heavy weather (Martínez and Castells, 2008) and ships attempt to avoid dangerous conditions especially in the heaviest storms. This task was traditionally devolved upon the experience of the captain and the alerts from meteorological institutions (Hilder, 1958; Cotter, 1966; Del Prete et al., 1999), but sophisticated weather routing systems integrated in the on-board equipment (Szłapczyńska, 2013) are increasingly being adopted. These tools might be of remarkable help in the route selection process. North Atlantic passages last around 5 days and are thus compatible with reliable forecast.

It has become clear that the weather that a ship experiences during its lifetime can be significantly different when estimated from the available databases. This aspect
changes according to the type of ships providing the voluntary observations, as for example warships do not typically care about the rough weather conditions, while containerships are more interested in avoiding events which might jeopardize the cargo, due to insurance reasons.

The wave information that has been used for establishing the reference conditions for the design of ships has been the data set from Global Wave Statistics (GWS) visual observations (Hogben et al. 1986) collected from ships in normal service all over the world since 1949. Visual observations carry significant uncertainty and several studies have been made to quantify and correct them for the bias as discussed, for example, in Guedes Soares (1986a,b). Other databases of this type of information also exist and it has been shown that they can lead to substantially different predictions of the most likely maximum loads in ships to be used for their structural design (Guedes Soares, 1996).

The GWS data consist of scatter diagrams of significant wave height and zero-crossing wave period, which are given for different seasons and directions. The data do not include information about the wave spectrum, which is important and can be obtained from the modern databases of hindcast data (Lucas et al 2011). Nowadays other type of data has become available from numerical wave models and remote sensing, which have produced large datasets of consistent wave data that can be used to establish wave climatologies over ocean areas (Barstow et al. 1995, Uppala et al, 2005, Pilar et al 2008). These data sets although of good quality are appropriate to provide information of average and moderately high wave conditions, (Campos and Guedes Soares, 2012) but still suffer from inaccuracies for predictions of extreme values (Bitner-Gregersen and Guedes Soares, 2007), which will be reflected in the predicted maximum wave loads in various types of marine structures (Guedes Soares and Viana 1988, Guedes Soares and Trovão, 1991).

The climate data sets are a good basis to describe the wave conditions for offshore structures that are in fixed positions. However ships tend to avoid heavy storms and in rough weather conditions may change course and speed to alleviate the motions and loads imposed on them by the waves (e.g Guedes Soares, 1992). Therefore, as to be able to use these data sets in an appropriate way, it is necessary to understand what is the effect of storm avoidance, which is one of the objectives of this paper. The comparison of boreal winter and summer tracks will give some qualitative indications on the effect of heavy winter storms in the preferred ship tracks.

The study of the maritime trade system is usually focused on economical and logistical considerations (Fremont, 2007; Hu and Zhu, 2009; Kaluza et al., 2010). Port operations are analysed in order to retrieve information about the network system, rather than actually map the trajectories at sea.

When the ships’ paths have to be detected there are not many sources to study. If a specific area is considered, radar observation can be used (Yao et al., 2010), but on ocean-crossing routes a semi-empirical approach is required.

The Voluntary Observing Ship (VOS) program (Fletcher, 2008) provides a unique source of geo-referenced reports, which, besides giving a huge dataset of meteorological parameters, specify the exact position of each observing ship during its operations. Since there is no reason to suppose that the percentage of ships participating in the VOS program is changing from one route to the other (the analysis is only limited to the North Atlantic sub-basin), it can be assumed that the density of observations is a good approximation of the density of ships in the routes. Using the Automatic Identification System (AIS) to know the ship positions could be an alternative way of identifying the ship routes. This has been done already but only on
routes close to coastal areas where AIS data is easier to collect systematically (Silveira et al. 2013).

Another factor that can be helpful in this attempt is a brief consideration of the geo-maritime-economy. On the east side of the North Atlantic, most of the trans-oceanic routes originate either in the Channel or in the Strait of Gibraltar for obvious reasons. Contrarily, on the west side, the amount of cargo volume of the ports relative to the foreign trade can give the idea of the major shipping regions on the North American Atlantic coast.

Finally, the present work may be interesting for a comparison with considerations on ocean routing charts referring far away in the past (Dickson, 1962), which indicates the historical evolution of the ocean passages.

2. VOLUNTARY OBSERVING SHIPS PROGRAM

The international scheme by which ships plying the various oceans and seas of the world are recruited by National Meteorological Services (NMSs) for taking and transmitting meteorological observations is called the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Voluntary Observing Ships' (VOS) scheme. The forerunner of the scheme dates back as far as 1853 (Kent et al., 1993).

At the present time, the contribution that VOS meteorological reports make to operational meteorology, to marine meteorological services and to global climate studies is unique and irreplaceable. During the past few decades, the increasing recognition of the role of the oceans in the global climate system has placed even greater emphasis on the importance of marine meteorological and oceanographical observing systems.

These ship observations provide ground truth for the satellite observations, important information which the satellites cannot observe, essential contributions to the data input for the numerical weather prediction (NWP) models, and to provide real-time reports which can be used immediately in services for the mariner.

A peak in total VOS was reached in 1984/85 when about 7700 ships worldwide were on the WMO VOS Fleet List. Since then there has been an irregular but marked decline and in June 1994, the Fleet strength dropped to about 7200 ships. These numbers have continued to decline and are currently estimated at only about 4000 ships worldwide. Besides commercial ships (tankers, bulk carriers, container-ships, etc.), also fixed platform are involved in the program, but their reports have been removed in the pre-processing phase due to the nature of this analysis.

The global number of available observations in the period 1950-2001 is around 100 billions, of which approximately 1/3 in the North Atlantic area. However, only 40-60% of the total number of reports includes wave information (Gulev and Hasse, 1998).

As it might be expected, real-time reports from the VOS are heavily concentrated along the major shipping routes, primarily in the North Atlantic and North Pacific Oceans. While there are wide areas where the number of reports is really poor, especially in the southern oceans, it can be considered representative of the maritime traffic in the North Atlantic and North Pacific sub-basins. The large amount of merchant ships involved in the program operating in those tracks ensures a statistically consistent sample of the maritime trade.
3. ROUTE DETECTION

The first and essential step is the identification of the most common trajectories in the ocean passages. Since oceans represent a continuous domain, a ship can theoretically choose infinite routes between one port and the other. It is then necessary to choose a discretization scheme and look for the most common paths, which depend on both physical and economic factors.

While close to the coast the tracks can be detected by radar observations (Yao, 2010), offshore the only available sources that include a considerable number of ships are the VOS reports. Among many other parameters mainly of meteorological nature, ships involved in this program provide, of course, their own geographical position and they are asked to ensure four reports per day. The VOS dataset become thus an irreplaceable source for route tracking in long oceanic passages.

In figure 1 the density of VOS reports nearby the US east coast is depicted using a grid of 2 by 2 degrees. Although all along the coast ship concentration is obviously much higher than in the open ocean, it is possible to distinguish three principal junction points in which the ocean tracks seem to direct: one north east corresponding to the area of New York and Virginia, the southern part of Florida as a gate for the Gulf of Mexico and Puerto Rico for the Caribbean Sea.

In spite of the well-known economical relevance of the Gulf of Mexico in the global and American trades (that will be confirmed later on), Atlantic passages towards this
area do not appear to be predominant. In fact, the US foreign trades that concern the Gulf are mainly with the Central and South America and the Pacific Ocean. The American Association of Port Authorities provides the ranking of U.S. Customs Districts for waterborne foreign trade (U.S. Waterborne Foreign Trade, 2010). In table 1 these districts are divided by region considering the fact that the ships transiting from one point of Europe towards different ports of the same region follow similar tracks.

Table 1 – U.S. East waterborne foreign trade regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region: Gulf of Mexico</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>CUSTOMS</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Houston-Galveston</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>262163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>New Orleans</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>257440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Port Arthur</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>64650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mobile</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>54260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOT =</td>
<td></td>
<td>638513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region: North East Coast</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>CUSTOMS</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>New York City</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>86060</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>56845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Philadelphia</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>55936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Baltimore</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>34332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Boston</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>15289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOT =</td>
<td></td>
<td>248462</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region: South East coast</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>CUSTOMS</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>33981</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tampa</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>25625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>15897</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Charleston</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>15707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOT =</td>
<td></td>
<td>91210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region: Caribbean</th>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>CUSTOMS</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>U.S. Virgin Islands</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>19319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>San Juan</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>10813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOT =</td>
<td></td>
<td>30132</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As expected, the Gulf of Mexico is unarguably the most important area for the US maritime shipping. It is mainly due to the relevant impact of the blooming oil and gas industry and the proximity to the Canal of Panama. Presently about 15% of the containers from Asia that transit the Canal is directed to Houston. The dynamic industry trends of the North East of the US and the increasing tendency towards containerization, which is aimed at making overseas shipping more cost effective, stimulated a dramatic growth in these waterborne trade districts. The northernmost stream of the Atlantic Ocean is directed towards this area.
Although the relative importance of San Juan (Puerto Rico) and Virgin Islands harbours in the US maritime economy is much smaller than the other regions, figure 1 clearly highlights a crucial crossroad in that area, because of the importance of the Canal of Panama, for shipping between Europe and the Pacific, and also of the trades between Europe and the northern South America.

Considering both the distribution of the foreign market in these regions and the density of VOS reports (Rossby et al, 1995) approaching the US coast, it is then easy to identify the three main junctions approximately corresponding to the area of Puerto Rico for the Caribbean Sea, Miami for the southeast coast of U.S. and the Virginia area for the northeast coast.

At this stage, all VOS reports from January 1990 to January 2009 are considered, that is to say more than 2.5 million reports. Even though the global economy continuously changes, it is assumed that this time period reflects better the recent condition and trend.

Now, in order to discard the effect of the cabotage trade and the routes towards the southern Atlantic Ocean, the data closer to the coast is removed. So the amount of the remaining reports is reduced, maintaining a considerable number of more than and 1.5 million. The resulting density is shown in figure 2.

![Figure 2 – Density of VOS reports on the considered area.](image)

The identification of the paths of the main routes is simply done by following the areas where the reports are denser between the previously defined junction points. The method is thus empirical. However the routes are quite easy to distinguish and it can be stated that the most used tracks are taken into account.

Each path is described as a number of waypoints, then the spread of ships’ courses positions with respect to the main route is taken into account thanks to the assignment of the reports to each route in the phase of analysis.
The eight principal routes are then detected as following and depicted in figure 3:

- Route 1: Channel - Puerto Rico (South)
- Route 2: Channel - Puerto Rico (North)
- Route 3: Channel - Virginia
- Route 4: Strait - Virginia (North)
- Route 5: Strait - Virginia (South)
- Route 6: Strait - Miami
- Route 7: East Coast - Puerto Rico
- Route 8: New York – Bermuda

![Diagram of routes](image)

Figure 3 – Main routes in the North Atlantic sub-basin.

In order to ensure a better description of the trades between Europe and the North-Eastern coast of the USA, routes number 3 from the Channel and 4 from the Strait of Gibraltar are divided after the longitude 55°W and two more branches are introduced to separately take into account the ships directed toward New York/Boston and those which will follow the main stream till the area of Virginia.

- Route 9: New York branch
- Route 10: Virginia Branch

4. ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN ROUTES

4.1. Ranking

In order to establish a univocal ranking between the routes, a function assigning the value for the required target, in this case the intensity of traffic has to be defined. To decide which reports to assign to a specific route, a system of 2°x2° square areas is built along each route. In some cases the spread of the observations requires more than one area corresponding to the same location on the way. In figure 4 an example
of a grid system for route number 3 plus the “Virginia branch” (route 10) from the Channel to Virginia is shown.

Since the number of reports along routes with different lengths and eventually for different periods of time are supposed to be compared, it must be normalized. The chosen parameter is the number of observations per month in 100km of track:

\[
P = \frac{\text{TOT } n^\circ \text{ reports}}{(n^\circ \text{ months}) \left( \frac{\text{route length}}{100} \right)}
\]  

(1)

Figure 4 – Example of the panel system.

A report is assigned to a route if it belongs to one of the square areas of that route. Many of the routes present a crossing point, in such a case two or more routes can share the same square. In these cases an iterative scheme is followed to assign a reliable number of reports to each involved route: initially the number of observations of the shared panels is equally split and the parameter P is calculated. Then a coefficient equal to the ratio between the parameters of the involved routes is computed and applied to the total number of reports of the shared panel, resulting in the updated number of reports to be assigned to the routes. The loop is repeated until the convergence is reached.

The parameter P does not have references in literature to be compared with, thus one cannot have an immediate impression of the importance of the traffic just knowing that parameter. Another value that can provide useful information is the percentage of reports per unit length. This percentage gives a picture of the relevance of one path with respect to the other ones analysed. The limit of the percentage is that it estimates the relationship only among the considered routes.

For these reasons, within the limit of one analysis the percentage allows an easier comparison, while if the study has to be repeated in a different region, the parameter P is more will represent a useful standardization. Hence, both the values are reported.

Considering a period of 20 years from January 1990 to December 2009, the result is summarized in table 2.
Table 2 – Analysis of the selected routes from 1990 to 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Length [nmi]</th>
<th>TOT n° reports</th>
<th>% of reports</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>% of reports per unit length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Route 1: Channel - Puerto Rico (South)</td>
<td>3210</td>
<td>195731</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 2: Channel - Puerto Rico (North)</td>
<td>3253</td>
<td>120345</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 3: Channel - Virginia</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>118519</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 4: Strait - Virginia (North)</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>98402</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 5: Strait - Virginia (South)</td>
<td>2762</td>
<td>105343</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 6: Strait - Miami</td>
<td>3048</td>
<td>85401</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 7: East Coast - Puerto Rico</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>59106</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 8: New York - Bermuda</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>18475</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 9: New York branch</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>19497</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route 10: Virginia branch</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>46660</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It must be noted that the length of the routes is only relative to the considered part, not to the actual distance between the geographical points (whereof the names). The portion which is closer to the coast has been excluded (see figure 4). Therefore, it appears that the shortest route from the Channel to Puerto Rico is the southern one, even though the northern route is the great circle and thus it is shorter (but the considered extreme points are not the same). Furthermore some routes are split into two, so for a correct comparison of route 4 and 5, the length of the former has to be added to the length of the “Virginia branch”, resulting in 2740nmi.

These considerations do not compromise the present analysis, but they should be taken into account for future comparisons.

4.2. Seasonal cycles

Although the parameter P has no absolute references in literature to be compared to, it allows the understanding of how much a route is used in different periods. When two routes have to be compared, it is better to use the percentage per unit length, taking into account only the relationship between the trajectories that are being considered, discarding the global variability of trades and therefore the total number of reports.

The seasonal cycles of the considered routes are reported in figure 5. The southern routes, such as number 5 and number 6, generally present a more constant behaviour. On the other hand, when the northern latitudes are involved, the effect of weather is much more visible and it is reflected by an increase in traffic in the months between spring and summer when the mean SWH is lower than 2m in the whole in the North Atlantic (figure 6a). In winter, with the presence of the heavier extratropical storms and even more than 4 meters of mean significant wave height north of the 40th parallel (see figure 6b and Semedo et al. 2011), the ships tend to prefer a southern course between the two ports (see section 3.3) or even plan the yearly schedule, aiming to avoid those areas in winter.
Figure 5 – Seasonal cycles for the considered route
4.3. Comparison between northern and southern routes

A direct comparison between two routes is mainly useful if the departure and arrival points are the same. For this reason, in the following analysis, routes number 1 and 2 from the Channel to Puerto Rico and routes number 4 and 5 from the Strait of Gibraltar to the Virginia area will be presented.

In figure 7 it is clearly shown that there is a tendency to favour the southern routes during winter months, even though they are longer.

In both cases the southern route is less used in the summer when the North Atlantic storms are less severe (Semedo et al., 2011). The trades between the Strait of Gibraltar and the Virginia area prefer the great-circle (northern) route when allowed by the weather conditions, whereas for the trades between the Channel and Puerto Rico, the southern and slightly longer route is always favoured. To explain this
preference, first of all it must be considered that the advantage of the great circle in
terms of length is smaller in the latter case because of the northeast-southwest
direction instead of west-east. Secondly, the attempt to avoid the tail of the most
critical storm area on the west side of Ireland (Semedo et al., 2011) as well as the risk
of encountering icebergs may play a leading role in the route selection process.

4.4. Decadal variation

Unfortunately, a comparison of the parameter P in different decades seems to be more
affected by the decrease in the number of members of the VOS program instead of the
real evolution of the trades in a specific route. However, the percentage per unit
length can give some suggestions regarding the change in relationship among the
routes along the years.

Table 3 – Trend of route usage in the decades from 1970 to 2000 (the
numbers indicate the percentage per unit length).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Routes</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The only noticeable indications are the increasing tendency to sail the northern route
between the Strait of Gibraltar and the Virginia area (route 4) and consequently in the
“Virginia branch” (route 10).

5. CONCLUSIONS

The traffic crossing the North Atlantic sub-basin was analysed through VOS reports
data. Although some simplifications were adopted, useful considerations can be made.
The applied procedure has a considerable empirical component, thus the results may
slightly differ if the selected coordinates for the routes and the panels are changed.
Storm avoidance influences the choice of the course and it is mainly highlighted on
the northern routes, which are always less frequented in winter. Routes involving the
Virginia area, especially from northern Europe, are the ones with the higher seasonal
variability and minor traffic in winter.
Overall, May is the month with the highest presence of ships, whereas in December it
reduces by almost 20%.
Considering just the trans-oceanic passages (routes from 1 to 6), the results show that
the most travelled route is the one from Northern Europe (the Channel) to the
Caribbean Sea (routes 1 and 2) with 36% of the traffic in the considered areas (higher
percentages in winter). More than one-third of these ships prefer the northern and
shorter orthodrome; in August this percentage rises to 43%.
The stream between the Strait of Gibraltar and the North-East Coast of USA (routes 4
and 5) denote a similar concentration with about 32% of the trades, while the routes
from the Channel to the Virginia area (route 3) and from Gibraltar to Miami (route 6)
contribute to 21% and 10% respectively.
This distribution can be attributed to the presence of the Panama Canal that is the only
practical way to reach the Pacific side from Northern Europe. Moreover, the ships that
transit through the Strait of Gibraltar comprehend both, the ones operating from/to the Mediterranean Sea and, in a great part, those coming from/directed to the Suez Canal. Due to the crossing of the two main trans-oceanic streams, the offshore area with the most intense transit is in correspondence to the Archipelago of the Azores.
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